



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/28/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/930,480	08/16/2001	Jens Bohlen	225/50312	9945
7590 10/28/2003			EXAMINER	
CROWELL & MORING, LLP			ROSENBERG, LAURA B	
P.O. BOX 1430	0			
Washington, D	C 20044-4300		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
υ,			3616	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/930,480	BOHLEN ET AL.	<u> </u>		
ė.	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Laura B Rosenberg	3616	1		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	lress		
THE REPLY FILED 14 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee					
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF) 					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:				
(a)					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note to	pelow);				
(c) \boxtimes they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) \square they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.		
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment		
5.⊠ The a)□ affidavit, b)□ exhibit, or c)⊠ request fo application in condition for allowance because: the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-35</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	1				
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)□ approved or b)□ disap	proved by the Exa	miner.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·			
10. Other:					
	PAUL	N. DICKSON	1		
		PATENT EXAMINER			
U.S. Datest and Todomark Office	TECHNOLOG	Y CENTER 3600			

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/930,480

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendment does not place the application in better condition for allowance and the newly claimed subject matter raises a new issue that would require further consideration and a new search.